
RESEARCH REPORT

STUDY PAPER 189

APRIL 2023

Mortality inequalities at retirement between migrants and non-migrants in Denmark and Sweden

Julia Callaway, Cosmo Strozza, Sven Drefahl,
Eleonora Mussino and Ilya Kashnitsky

THE ROCKWOOL FOUNDATION
RESEARCH

STUDY PAPER 189

APRIL 2023

Mortality inequalities at retirement between migrants and non-migrants in Denmark and Sweden

Published by:

© The ROCKWOOL Foundation Research Unit

Address:

The ROCKWOOL Foundation Research Unit

Ny Kongensgade 6

1472 Copenhagen, Denmark

Telephone +45 33 34 48 00

E-mail: kontakt@rff.dk

en.rockwoolfonden.dk/research/

April 2023

Mortality inequalities at retirement between migrants and non-migrants in Denmark and Sweden

Julia Callaway¹, Cosmo Strozza¹, Sven Drefahl², Eleonora Mussino², Ilya Kashnitsky¹

¹ *Interdisciplinary Centre on Population Dynamics, University of Southern Denmark, Odense, Denmark*

² *Department of Sociology, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden*

Abstract

Background

Denmark and Sweden index their statutory retirement ages to life expectancy. When lifespan increases, so does retirement age. This policy does not consider demographic heterogeneity in life expectancy, e.g., between migrants and non-migrants. This poses questions for pension policies that index retirement age to life expectancy.

Objective

To understand how mortality inequalities between migrants and non-migrants interact with the indexation of the statutory retirement age in Denmark and Sweden.

Methods

We used Danish and Swedish registry data from 1988-2018, and included individuals aged 50+. Migrants were classified as European-born and non-European-born. We calculated the probability of dying before retirement age, remaining life expectancy at retirement age, lifespan inequalities

after retirement age, and the likelihood that a non-migrant would outlive a migrant. We also classified the Danish-born population into four income levels and compare to migrant groups.

Results

Non-European-born migrants had the survival advantage in both countries, but equal or higher lifespan inequality at retirement. Sweden had a proportionally larger migrant population, but Denmark's was more diverse. The probability that a non-migrant would outsurvive a migrant as 40%-50% in both countries.

Conclusions

The healthy migrant effect was observed in both Denmark and Sweden. Despite mortality advantages, migrants do not contribute to increasing life expectancy in Denmark or Sweden.

Contribution

This study illustrates migrant mortality in two Scandinavian settings. It was part of a project on Danish pension policy, developed and directed by Jim Vaupel from 2019 until his death. An immigrant to Denmark himself, Jim was passionate about research that explains how migrants and non-migrants differ.

1. Introduction

In 1900, fewer than one in ten inhabitants of the Nordic countries were over the age of 65. Over the next forty years, this number did not change. Today, it is one in five (Sundström 2009). As populations age, more people are reaching retirement than in previous generations, and many are living longer once they retire (Burger, Baudisch, and Vaupel 2012; Sanderson and Scherbov 2010, 2015; Vaupel, Villavicencio, and Bergeron-Boucher 2021; Zuo et al. 2018). Without intervention, this phenomenon poses a threat to the financial sustainability of pension systems. Denmark and Sweden were among the first countries in the world to address this issue by implementing a reform to index retirement age to life expectancy. As life expectancy increases, so does statutory retirement age (Whitehouse 2007). While this policy addresses some of the financial effects of aging populations on pension systems, it does not consider the heterogeneity of populations. Life expectancy differs across demographic and socioeconomic subpopulations (Vaupel, Zhang, and van Raalte 2011), and this is not reflected in Danish or Swedish pension policies.

Over the past decades, Denmark and Sweden have experienced increased immigration that has shaped the demographics of both countries. In high-income countries, including the Nordics, most migrant groups have higher life expectancies at birth and better health outcomes than non-migrants (Deboosere and Gadeyne 2005; Guillot et al. 2018; Palloni and Arias 2004; Reiss, Spallek, and Razum 2010; Wallace et al. 2022; Wallace and Kulu 2014). As the volume of immigration continues to increase, and migrants who moved in previous waves age and transition to retirement, differences in mortality becomes a particularly pertinent topic. This raises several questions. Do immigrants have higher chances of survival to retirement age, given their higher life expectancies and better health outcomes? How long do migrants live in retirement compared to non-migrants? To what extent do migrants contribute to changes in life expectancy, and therefore statutory retirement age? How equal

or unequal are lifespans across migrant and non-migrant socioeconomic groups, and how likely is it that an individual from one group will outlive someone in another? Are inequalities in survival within the migrant and non-migrant subpopulations similar?

Using population registry data from Denmark and Sweden, we aim to answer these questions by assessing differences in survival from age 50 to retirement age (age 65) in Denmark and Sweden by migrant status; quantify the number of years migrants and non-migrants live in retirement in Denmark and Sweden; and calculate how migrant and non-migrant groups contribute to the changing statutory retirement ages in the two countries. We illustrate how migrant groups survive compared to non-migrants across socioeconomic gradients. To provide a complete picture of the differences in mortality profiles of migrants and non-migrants, we estimate inequalities in lifespans within those populations. Finally, to complement the inequality measure, we present the likelihood that a non-migrant would outlive a migrant.

We have organized the remainder of the paper as follows. In Section 2, we provide the context for our analysis by explaining the recent history of Nordic migration and migrant mortality in high-income countries. In Section 3, we describe the data and summarize the methodology used in the paper. In Section 4, we show the results. Lastly, in Section 5, we conclude with a discussion of the implications of our results in the context of pension systems that index statutory retirement age to life expectancy.

2. Background

Nordic migration

Denmark and Sweden have very different migration histories, and thus, migrant populations. Prior to the 1980s, Nordic immigration was primarily from within the region, and primarily to Sweden.

Sweden first saw an influx of immigrants from its Nordic neighbors and Germany during the Second World War, when it remained neutral. However, the 1954 Common Nordic Labour Market Agreement, which allowed citizens of the Nordic countries to live and work anywhere in the region, was the main catalyst for a wave of migration to the more economically developed Sweden. The majority of migrants were low-educated workers from Finland, and it is estimated that Finnish migration to Sweden from 1954 to the end of the 1970s accounted for two-thirds of all moves within the Nordic region (Korkiasaari and Söderling 2003).

Migration from outside the Nordic region to both Denmark and Sweden was represented mostly by labor workers from Turkey and Pakistan, and to a lesser extent, Morocco, Yugoslavia, and India. Both Denmark and Sweden put a stop to labor migration in the 1970s, which resulted in a shift in the type of migration from these countries to that of family reunification (Bevelander et al. 2013). Since then, both countries have also received refugees, with Sweden receiving a larger proportion than Denmark relative to its population size (Karlsdóttir et al. 2018). The largest inflow of refugees after the Second World War came in the 1970s, with Chileans fleeing the military coup (Matthiessen 2009). Since then, the main origins of refugees have been from Afghanistan, Eritrea, Iran, Iraq, and Somalia in the 1980s, Yugoslavia in the 1990s, and, more recently, from Syria and Ukraine. Since Denmark and Sweden joined the European Union, in 1973 and 1995, respectively, and especially after the expansion of the European Union to Central and Eastern Europe in early 2000s, the intensity of within-EU migration to both countries has increased (DeWaard et al. 2017; Ertur and Koch 2006; Favell 2008; Hrzic et al. 2021).

Migrant mortality advantage

Broadly speaking, migrants have a mortality advantage in high-income countries over non-migrants. This has been documented worldwide, including in Australia, the United States (Palloni and Arias

2004), Canada (McDonald and Kennedy 2004), and European countries such as Germany (Ronellenfitsch et al. 2006), France (Boulogne et al. 2012), and the United Kingdom (Scott and Timæus 2013). However, some studies suggest that the migrant mortality advantage may not persist to older ages. For instance, Wallace & Wilson (2022), find evidence of a migrant mortality advantage at younger ages in Denmark and Sweden, but a reversal at older ages. A similar phenomenon has been observed in the United States and Canada (Palloni and Arias 2004; Vang et al. 2017).

There are four main explanations for the migrant mortality advantage: in-migration selection, also known as the “healthy migrant effect”; out-migration selection, also known as the “salmon effect”; data artifacts, including under-coverage and over-coverage; and cultural effects (Andersson and Drefahl 2017). The healthy migrant effect posits that those who migrate to a country are inherently healthier than the receiving population. The salmon effect occurs when migrants leave a receiving-country when their health declines, leaving behind a migrant population that is healthier than it otherwise would have been, and thus, has a longer lifespan than it otherwise would have. Data artifacts occur when the migrant population is not accurately represented in administrative registries, either by under-coverage (migrants are not registered when they arrive) or over-coverage (migrants are not unregistered when they leave). In the case of over-coverage, which is often caused by the salmon effect, a death or adverse health outcome would not be recorded in administrative registries, giving the impression that individuals may live longer or have better health outcomes than they actually do. Cultural effects explain any behavioral differences between migrants and receiving populations that are associated with health outcomes, for example, smoking and alcohol consumption. These explanations can exist in tandem with one another, and are supported to differing degrees in high-income receiving countries (Guillot et al. 2018).

In European receiving-countries, the healthy migrant effect is, generally, the accepted explanation for the migrant mortality advantage (Ichou and Wallace 2019; Wallace and Wilson 2019). Nordic countries are no exception (Syse et al. 2016; Wallace and Wilson 2022). Additionally, Dunlavy et al. (2022) find no support for the salmon effect as an explanation for the migrant mortality advantage in Sweden, although migrants with low to moderate levels of comorbidities from some regions had a higher risk of emigration than to those with no comorbidities. Andersson & Drefahl (2017) treat long-distance migration between the northern and southern parts of Sweden as a proxy for international migration, and also find that the health selection of migrants plays a major role in explaining the migrant mortality advantage. Also in Sweden, Aradhya et al. (2017) find strong support for the selectiveness of migration by exploring the subnational regions of origin-countries and show that regional networks of origin-countries affect post-migration residential decisions in the host-country. On the other hand, Monti et al. (2020) do find evidence for over-coverage in Sweden at ages with high-migration intensity (up to age 40), but a low impact on mortality from ages 40 to 75.

Although migrants are healthier than non-migrant populations in European receiving-countries mainly due to the initial health selection, Rechel et al. (2013) find that migrants may also be more susceptible to both communicable and non-communicable diseases. This is supported by Drefahl et al. (2020) and Rostila et al. (2021), who document a higher death toll of COVID-19 among immigrants from lower- and middle-income countries in Sweden.

Migrant mortality in the Nordic countries varies. While adult migrants of working age are positively selected on health, their contribution to life expectancy is more nuanced. Wallace et al. (2022) find wide variation according to migrant composition. Over time, immigrants in Denmark, Finland, and Norway enhance national life expectancy at age 1, while in Sweden, the effect transforms from negative to positive. Based on this knowledge, and to enhance the current debate on the indexation

of retirement age to life expectancy, we explore migrant mortality before and after retirement age in Denmark and Sweden.

3. Data and Methods

Data

We used Danish and Swedish registry data from 1988 to 2018 and aggregated data on individual demographic and socioeconomic characteristics. Data were acquired through Statistics Denmark and Statistics Sweden, the two national authorities on population statistics and data. The study population included all individuals who were registered as resident in Denmark or Sweden during the entire study period, aged 50 years or older. We used country of birth as a proxy for migrant status. We differentiated among three subpopulations: (1) non-migrants, defined as those born in the host country, either Denmark or Sweden; (2) European migrants who were born in other European countries excluding the host country; and (3) migrants born outside Europe. In the Supplementary Material, we also present results for non-migrants compared to the combined group of all migrants who were born outside the host country. We used age 65 as a proxy for statutory retirement age. The statutory retirement age in Denmark for the majority of the study period was 65 years. Although statutory retirement age in Sweden was lower, around 63 years, the average age of actual retirement was around 65 years (OECD 2023).

We also used equivalized disposable family income as a proxy for the socioeconomic status (SES) of non-migrants in Denmark (Eurostat 2021). This variable was calculated by adding pre-tax income and imputed hypothetical rent (for homeowners), and subtracting interest expenses, taxes, etc. The final amount was divided by the number of equivalent adults living in the household to reflect its size and age composition. To calculate the number of equivalent adults, weights were applied to all members of the household with a factor of 1 for the first adult, 0.5 for the second adult and each

subsequent person aged 14 or older, and 0.3 to each child under the age of 14. Those born in Denmark were then divided into quartiles according to income distribution, computed by year, sex, and five-year age classes until age 89, and an open age category 90 and older. Data for migrants were not sufficient to similarly classify them by SES using the same method.

Analysis

We computed period life tables by year, sex, and migrant status for Denmark and Sweden using aggregated data from population registries. Exposures were obtained as the average population alive between two calendar years. For this reason, and reflecting the data availability in both countries, our results refer to the period from 1988 to 2018 for Denmark and 1991 to 2018 in Sweden.

Based on the life tables, we computed the probability of dying between ages 50 and 65 by sex, for each year of the study period by migrant background. We estimated life expectancy at retirement to quantify the average number of years these groups would spend in retirement. Based on these estimates, we quantified the differences between length of life spent in retirement for migrants and non-migrants. Calculating remaining life expectancy at retirement can inform pension policy reforms that aim for intergenerational fairness, whereby younger cohorts would spend an equal proportion of their lives in retirement as previous cohorts (Alonso-García, Boado-Penas, and Devolder 2018; Hassler and Lindbeck 1997).

We also quantified inequalities in lifespans between migrants and non-migrants by year, sex, and migrant background using two indicators. We calculated e-dagger (e^\dagger) at age 65 to summarize years of life lost, on average, to death from age 65+ (Vaupel and Canudas-Romo 2003), as follows:

$$e^\dagger = \sum_{x=65}^{\omega} d(x)e(x),$$

where $d(x)$ is the life table deaths at age x and $e(x)$ is the remaining life expectancy at age x , conditional on surviving to age 50.

We also calculated a discrete version of the outsurvival statistic (φ) to quantify the probability that a non-migrant would outsurvive (i.e., live longer) a migrant, conditional on surviving to age 50 (Bergeron-Boucher et al. 2022), as follows:

$$\varphi \approx \sum_{x=50}^{\omega} d^2(x-1)l^1(x) + \frac{\sum_{x=0}^{\omega} d^1(x)d^2(x)}{2},$$

where $d(x)$ is the life table deaths at age x and $l(x)$ is survival probability at age x .

To complement these analyses, we also also classified the Danish-born population into income quartiles to represent four levels of SES. We applied the methods described above to compare the Danish-born population by SES with the migrant population by background (European or non-European). Lower SES is associated with higher risk of death before age 65 in Denmark (Strozza et al. 2022), and these analyses provide more context for migrant survival in the Danish case. All analyses were done in R version 4.2.2 (R Core Team 2022).

4. Results

Comparing survival to and after retirement age in Denmark and Sweden

The compositions of the migrant populations in Denmark and Sweden provide context for interpreting the results of our mortality analysis. In Table 1, we present descriptive results that display the top-five countries of birth among migrants ages 50 and older in Denmark, from 1988 to 2018, and Sweden, from 1991 to 2018, in 10-year increments. The foreign-born populations of both Denmark and Sweden grew over this time period. Migrants comprised 3.8% of the Danish population in 1988,

which grew to 8% in 2018. Germany was consistently the top country of origin for migrants in Denmark across the entire study period. However, the proportion of German-born migrants shrunk over time, from 15.6% in 1988 to 8.7% in 2018, illustrative of the increasing diversity of the migrant population in Denmark. The percentage of migrants born outside the top five sending countries increased from 53.4% in 1988 to 69.5% in 2018. In Sweden, migrants represented 8.7% of the total population at the beginning of the study period (1991), which increased to 15.9% at the end. Finland was consistently the top country of origin for migrants in Sweden, representing about one third of the total migrant population over the last 20 years. However, as opposed to what we observed in Denmark, the percentage of migrants born outside the top five sending countries reduced from 42.7% in 1991 to 32.2% in 2018, indicating a decrease in the diversity of the migrant population in Sweden.

Table 1. Top-five countries of origin for migrants aged 50 years or older living in Denmark and Sweden, 1988-2018

Year	Denmark		Sweden	
	Country	Foreign-born	Country	Foreign-born
1988*	<i>% of foreign in population</i>	3.8	<i>% of foreign in population</i>	8.7
	Germany	15.6	Finland	28.4
	Sweden	9.5	German-speaking countries	9.2
	Turkey	9.0	Norway	8.2
	Norway	7.6	Denmark	7.2
	United Kingdom	4.9	Former Yugoslavia	4.4
1998	<i>% of foreign in population</i>	5.6	<i>% of foreign in population</i>	10.3
	Germany	11.0	Finland	33.0
	Turkey	8.2	German-speaking countries	9.4
	Sweden	6.7	Norway	7.5
	Norway	5.6	Denmark	7.0
	Bosnia and Herzegovina	5.2	Former Yugoslavia	6.6
2008	<i>% of foreign in population</i>	6.9	<i>% of foreign in population</i>	12.7
	Germany	9.6	Finland	36.3
	Turkey	7.9	Former Yugoslavia	9.2
	Sweden	5.8	German-speaking countries	8.8
	Norway	4.9	Norway	7.2
	Bosnia and Herzegovina	4.9	Denmark	6.8
2018	<i>% of foreign in population</i>	8.0	<i>% of foreign in population</i>	15.9
	Germany	8.7	Finland	31.9
	Turkey	7.3	Former Yugoslavia	11.7
	Sweden	5.2	Iraq	8.7
	Poland	5.0	German-speaking countries	7.8
	Bosnia and Herzegovina	4.3	Iran	7.7

Note. *The study period for Sweden begins in 1991. German-speaking countries include Austria, Germany, and Switzerland. Former Yugoslavia includes Bosnia and Herzegovina, Croatia, Kosovo, North Macedonia, Montenegro, Serbia, and Slovenia.

In Figure 1, we present the probability of dying between ages 50 and 65 for migrants and non-migrants in Denmark (panel A) and Sweden (panel B) between 1988 and 2018 by sex. Migrants were divided into two categories, those born in and outside Europe (see the Supplementary Materials for the comparison of the combined migrant subpopulation against the non-migrant). From 1988 to 2018 there was a clear and consistent reduction in mortality in both countries, across all groups. In Denmark, migrants from outside Europe experienced lower probabilities of dying before reaching age 65 for both sexes. Among men, European migrants had mortality levels that were similar to non-migrants until the mid-2000s, but have been consistently lower since then. Among women, European born women had lower levels of mortality in Denmark. In Sweden, European migrants had higher mortality between ages 50 and 65 than non-migrants both among men and women, with the former showing greater inequalities than the latter. Similar to Denmark, Swedish non-European migrants had the lowest levels of mortality, but the magnitude of these inequalities is lower.

Figure 1. Probability of dying between ages 50 and 65 for migrants and non-migrants in Denmark (panel A) and Sweden (panel B) between 1988 and 2018




Figure 2 depicts remaining life expectancy at retirement age for migrants (European and non-European) and non-migrants in Denmark (panel A) and Sweden (panel B) between 1988 and 2018 by sex. Over the study period, life expectancy at retirement age increased for all groups. In both Denmark and Sweden, and for men and women, migrants born outside Europe had the highest remaining life expectancy at retirement for most of the study period. On the other hand, European-born migrants fare very differently in Denmark and Sweden. In Sweden, remaining life expectancy at retirement age was higher than that of the Danish population overall for most of the study period among both men and women (Kashnitsky 2023). Figure 3 further illustrates these findings by displaying the differences in years in life expectancy at retirement for migrants and the non-migrant population compared to Denmark and Sweden overall. Non-European migrants had an average of two to three years longer remaining life expectancy than the general population in Denmark, and one to two years in Sweden.

Figure 2. Remaining life expectancy at retirement age (65 years) for migrants and non-migrants in Denmark (panel A) and Sweden (panel B) between 1988 and 2018




Figure 3. Differences (in years) between life expectancy at retirement age (65 years) for Denmark (panel A) and Sweden (panel B) overall compared to migrants and non-migrants between 1988 and 2018





Figure 4 illustrates lifespan inequality by presenting years of life lost due to death from age 65+ for European and non-European migrants and non-migrants in Denmark and Sweden between 1988 and 2018. The estimates of lifespan inequality at retirement for European and non-European migrants are noisy, but seem to be around the overall level in Denmark. In Sweden, contrary to what is observed for Denmark, lifespan inequality for the two migrant groups was higher than that of the total population, which reflects a greater variability in their ages at death. Notably, non-European migrants had longer lives but more uncertain ages at death once they reached retirement age.

Figure 4. Years of life lost due to death from retirement age (65+ years) for migrants and non-migrants in Denmark (panel A) and Sweden (panel B) between 1988 and 2018



Comparing migrants in Denmark with different levels of SES in the Danish-born population

In Figures 5 and 6, we give more context to the Danish results by classifying the Danish-born population by income quartile to represent SES. We calculate the probability of dying between ages 50 and 65 (Figure 5), remaining life expectancy at retirement age (Figure 6, panel A), differences in remaining life expectancy at retirement age, with Denmark as the reference group (panel B), and lifespan inequalities at retirement age (panel C). Panel A reveals an exception to the clear decline in mortality depicted in Figure 1. Non-migrants in Denmark (both men and women) in the lowest income quartile, saw almost no improvements in mortality. European migrants had mortality levels that closely resembled that of non-migrants in the second and third income quartiles among men, and close to the third among women. Non-European migrants had even lower levels of mortality in Denmark, comparable to those of non-migrants in the third income quartile among men and fourth (highest) among women. Panel B shows that life expectancy of European-born migrants was higher than the first three income quartiles of the Danish-born population, but lower than that of the fourth (highest) quartile. Panel C reveals that in Denmark, lifespan inequality is greatest in the lowest Danish-born SES group. Moreover, this is the only group that experienced an increase in lifespan inequality over the course of the study period, which is seen for both men and women (Strozza et al. 2022). By contrast, the two highest Danish-born SES groups saw a sharp decrease in years of life lost in retirement.

Figure 5. Probability of dying between ages 50 and 65 between 1988 and 2018 in Denmark by income quartile




Figure 6. Remaining life expectancy at retirement age (panel A), differences in life expectancy at retirement with reference: Denmark (panel B), and years of life lost due to death from retirement age (65+ years) (panel C) between 1988 and 2018 in Denmark by income quartile





Figure 7 shows the probability that a randomly selected non-migrant will outsurvive a migrant for the years 1988 to 2018 in Denmark, and 1991 to 2017 in Sweden. The probability that a non-migrant will outsurvive a migrant of any origin across all years is between 40% and 50% for most of the study period. When the Danish-born population is divided by SES, a clear gradient arises, with the biggest difference observed for the lowest SES group. For men and women, the probability that a non-migrant in the lowest SES group will outlive a migrant of any origin is between 30% and 40%, with the exception of European migrants, who have more than a 40% outsurvival probability in the most recent years. In Sweden, the probability that a non-migrant will outsurvive a non-European migrant is over 40%, and it increases to more than 50% when considering European migrants, meaning non-migrants have a mortality advantage.

Figure 7. Probability that a non-migrant in Denmark and Sweden will outsurvive a migrant after reaching retirement (age 65) between 1988 and 2018. Non-migrants in Denmark are split into income quartiles



5. Discussion

The guiding aim of this paper was to understand how inequalities in mortality between migrants and non-migrants interact with the indexation of the statutory retirement age in Denmark and Sweden. We compared migrant and non-migrant survival to retirement age, quantified the number of years migrants and non-migrants live after retirement age, estimated their inequalities in lifespans, and calculated the probability that non-migrants would outsurvive migrants, once they have survived to retirement age.

Adult migrant populations are structurally different in Denmark and Sweden, which explains the different mortality patterns to a large extent. Denmark's migrant population is primarily German-born, while Sweden's is primarily Finnish-born. On the outset, large migrant populations from neighboring countries do not seem particularly noteworthy, but these populations are represented very differently in the results of this study. Firstly, the proportion of German-born migrants in Denmark shrunk over the duration of the study period from making up 15.6% of the migrant population in 1988, to 8.7% of the migrant population in 2018. Finnish-born migrants, on the other hand, made up 28.4% of the migrant population in Sweden in 1991, which increased to 31.9% in 2018. The 1954 Common Nordic Labour Market Agreement saw an influx of low-educated migrants from Finland to Sweden, accounting for an estimated two-thirds of all moves within the Nordic region from 1954 to the end of the 1970s (Korkiasaari and Söderling 2003). Throughout this period, Finns who moved to Sweden moved for labor opportunities. In the 1950s, a large proportion of Finnish migrants to Sweden had worked as farm or wood laborers in northern Finland, a region of high unemployment and out-migration, and although only around 30% of Finnish migrants in Sweden had industrial experience, more than two-thirds ended up working in the industrial sector. In 1970, around 70% of Finns in Sweden were employed in the production sector performing physically demanding work under

difficult conditions with regards to heat, noise, and risk of accidents (Hammar 1995). In our analysis, we found that in Sweden, European-born migrant men had the highest probability of dying before reaching age 65 and the lowest remaining life expectancy at retirement when compared to those born in Sweden, outside Europe, and overall. These results are in line with those of other researchers who study the mortality disadvantage of the Finnish-born population in Sweden (Honkaniemi et al. 2017). To our knowledge, there are no studies that focus specifically on the German-born migrant population in Denmark. However, our results indicate that mortality among German-born migrants is more aligned with that of the Danish population. A surprising finding is that, although the migrant population in Denmark is proportionally much smaller than that of Sweden, it is more diverse. In 2018, 30.5% of Denmark's migrant-born population came from one of the top-five countries of origin, with no one country representing more than 10% of the migrant population, whereas in Sweden, 67.8% of the migrant population came from one of the top-five countries of origin, with Finns representing about a third of all migrants. Additionally, while we only considered country of birth as an indicator of migrant status, and not the country of birth or citizenship of parents, we do not believe this affected our results.

A novelty of this study is the introduction of the Danish-born SES gradient into the analysis of the non-migrant population mortality. When compared to different socioeconomic levels of non-migrants in Denmark, migrants, specifically those born outside Europe, had a probability of dying before age 65 that was more aligned with the highest socioeconomic groups in Denmark, i.e., among the lowest probabilities. Non-European migrants also had the highest life expectancy at retirement for both men and women, comparable to that observed in the wealthiest Danish-born SES group. This result confirms the existence of a prominent healthy migrant effect in Denmark, consistent with other studies that find similar evidence of this phenomenon in the Nordic region (Andersson and Drefahl 2017; Syse et al. 2016; Wallace and Wilson 2019). Although we are not able to completely exclude

the existence of potential biases in our analysis, particularly with regards to out-migration selection, these results suggest that in the context of mortality, migration acts against the negative effect of being less educated or having a lower income, especially for those born outside Europe. That said, Statistics Denmark has reported that there is no evidence of data artifacts, either by under-coverage or over-coverage, in Danish registry data (DST 2020). A limitation of this study is that we could not perform the same analyses by SES for Sweden, due to the inconsistency of equivalized family income measures in the Swedish registry data.

The estimates of lifespan inequality at retirement for European and non-European migrants are noisy but it seems to hover around the overall level in Denmark. Results for non-European migrants in Sweden also lend support for the healthy migrant effect in this migrant population, consistent with Wallace & Wilson (2022), who came to the same conclusion using different methods. The non-European migrant group had the lowest probability of dying before age 65 and the highest life expectancy at retirement, yet, at the same time, their lifespan inequality at retirement was higher than that of non-migrants. A higher level of life expectancy usually corresponds to lower levels of lifespan inequalities (Aburto et al. 2020), and this result may reflect a higher heterogeneity among the migrants from outside Europe. One possibility is that migrants have a different cause-of-death profile that might lead to higher lifespan inequalities (Aburto and van Raalte 2018). Although studies find little evidence for biased estimates of mortality rates at older ages caused by the salmon effect in Sweden (Andersson and Drefahl 2017; Dunlavy et al. 2022), poor data quality or other data artifacts could also contribute to this result. Further investigation of this irregularity is needed. It is also difficult to assess the effect of migrant heterogeneity, as individual migrant groups are very small. However, this study lays the groundwork for further study on the effects of migrant heterogeneity on mortality and lifespan inequality estimates.

The migrant mortality advantage holds in Denmark at retirement age. Only the highest SES quartile of the Danish-born population sees a mortality advantage over migrants. However, the picture is not so simple. Lifespan inequality at age 65 was higher for migrant men than for three of the four SES groups. Additionally, we find that the probability that an individual born in Denmark will outlive an individual born outside Denmark is around 50% for both men and women, which also indicates a high level of lifespan inequality in the migrant population. This result should caution against any policy that targets the seemingly advantageous migrant mortality gap by proposing to adjust their age at retirement relative to that of non-migrants. In Denmark, migrants do not contribute to the increase in life expectancy, as they represent a marginal proportion of the population. In Sweden, migrants negatively contribute to the increase in life expectancy, but to a much smaller degree as that observed at age 1 in Wallace et al. (2022). In short, we conclude that migrant mortality is not contributing to the changing statutory retirement ages in Denmark and Sweden and thus, should not be a separate story in the evolution of pension systems.

Acknowledgments

JC, CS, and IK received funding from the ROCKWOOL Foundation, through the research project “Challenges to Implementation of Indexation of the Pension Age in Denmark” and JC and IK received funding from the European Research Council (ERC) under the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme (Grant agreement No. 884328 – Unequal Lifespans). SD and EM received funding through the Swedish Research Council for Health, Working life, and Welfare (FORTE), grant number 2016-07115 and 2016-07105. We are grateful for the guidance and input that James W. Vaupel had on this paper. JC, CS, and IK also thank Annette Baudisch, James Oeppen, Aleksandrs Aleksandrovs, the Laboratory on Longevity and Aging (LoLA), and their colleagues at the Interdisciplinary Centre on Population Dynamics (CPop) for the feedback they gave on this paper.

References

- Aburto, J.M. and van Raalte, A. (2018). Lifespan Dispersion in Times of Life Expectancy Fluctuation: The Case of Central and Eastern Europe. *Demography* 55(6):2071–2096. doi:10.1007/s13524-018-0729-9.
- Aburto, J.M., Villavicencio, F., Basellini, U., Kjærgaard, S., and Vaupel, J.W. (2020). Dynamics of life expectancy and life span equality. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 117(10):5250–5259. doi:10.1073/pnas.1915884117.
- Alonso-García, J., Boado-Penas, M. del C., and Devolder, P. (2018). Adequacy, fairness and sustainability of pay-as-you-go-pension-systems: defined benefit versus defined contribution. *The European Journal of Finance* 24(13):1100–1122. doi:10.1080/1351847X.2017.1399429.
- Andersson, G. and Drefahl, S. (2017). Long-Distance Migration and Mortality in Sweden: Testing the Salmon Bias and Healthy Migrant Hypotheses: Long-Distance Migration and Mortality in Sweden. *Population, Space and Place* 23(4):e2032. doi:10.1002/psp.2032.
- Aradhya, S., Hedefalk, F., Helgertz, J., and Scott, K. (2017). Region of Origin: Settlement Decisions of Turkish and Iranian Immigrants in Sweden, 1968-2001: Region of Origin. *Population, Space and Place* 23(4):e2031. doi:10.1002/psp.2031.
- Bergeron-Boucher, M.-P., Alvarez, J.-A., Kashnitsky, I., and Zarulli, V. (2022). Probability of males to outlive females: an international comparison from 1751 to 2020. *BMJ Open* 12(8):e059964. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2021-059964.
- Bevelander, P., H. Bilde, R., Dahlstedt, I., and Eskelund, M. (2013). *Scandinavia's Population Groups Originating from Developing Countries: Change and Integration*. Nordisk ministerråd. doi:10.6027/TN2013-561.

- Boulogne, R., Jouglu, E., Breem, Y., Kunst, A.E., and Rey, G. (2012). Mortality differences between the foreign-born and locally-born population in France (2004–2007). *Social Science & Medicine* 74(8):1213–1223. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2012.01.002.
- Burger, O., Baudisch, A., and Vaupel, J.W. (2012). Human mortality improvement in evolutionary context. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 109(44):18210–18214. doi:10.1073/pnas.1215627109.
- Deboosere, P. and Gadeyne, S. (2005). Adult Migrant Mortality Advantage in Belgium: Evidence Using Census and Register Data. *Population (English Edition, 2002-)* 60(5/6):655. doi:10.2307/4148188.
- DeWaard, J., Ha, J.T., Raymer, J., and Wiśniowski, A. (2017). Migration from New-Accession Countries and Duration Expectancy in the EU-15: 2002–2008. *European Journal of Population* 33(1):33–53. doi:10.1007/s10680-016-9383-3.
- Drefahl, S., Wallace, M., Mussino, E., Aradhya, S., Kolk, M., Brandén, M., Malmberg, B., and Andersson, G. (2020). A population-based cohort study of socio-demographic risk factors for COVID-19 deaths in Sweden. *Nature Communications* 11(1):5097. doi:10.1038/s41467-020-18926-3.
- DST (2020). *Statistikdokumentation for Befolkningen 2020*. Copenhagen: Danmarks Statistik.
- Dunlavy, A., Cederström, A., Katikireddi, S.V., Rostila, M., and Juárez, S.P. (2022). Investigating the salmon bias effect among international immigrants in Sweden: a register-based open cohort study. *European Journal of Public Health* 32(2):226–232. doi:10.1093/eurpub/ckab222.
- Ertur, C. and Koch, W. (2006). Regional disparities in the European Union and the enlargement process: an exploratory spatial data analysis, 1995–2000. *The Annals of Regional Science* 40(4):723–765. doi:10.1007/s00168-006-0062-x.

- Eurostat (2021). Glossary:Equivalised disposable income [electronic resource].
https://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php?title=Glossary:Equivalised_disposable_income.
- Favell, A. (2008). The New Face of East–West Migration in Europe. *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies* 34(5):701–716. doi:10.1080/13691830802105947.
- Guillot, M., Khlata, M., Elo, I., Sogniac, M., and Wallace, M. (2018). Understanding age variations in the migrant mortality advantage: An international comparative perspective. *PLOS ONE* 13(6):e0199669. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0199669.
- Hammar, T. (1995). Labour Migration to Sweden: The Finnish Case. In: Cohen, R. (ed.). *The Cambridge Survey of World Migration*. 1st ed. Cambridge University Press.
doi:10.1017/CBO9780511598289.
- Hassler, J. and Lindbeck, A. (1997). Optimal actuarial fairness in pension systems: A note. *Economics Letters* 55(2):251–255. doi:10.1016/S0165-1765(97)00065-7.
- Honkaniemi, H., Bacchus-Hertzman, J., Fritzell, J., and Rostila, M. (2017). Mortality by country of birth in the Nordic countries – a systematic review of the literature. *BMC Public Health* 17(1):511. doi:10.1186/s12889-017-4447-9.
- Hrzic, R., Vogt, T., Brand, H., and Janssen, F. (2021). The Short-Term Effects of European Integration on Mortality Convergence: A Case Study of European Union’s 2004 Enlargement. *European Journal of Population* 37(4):909–931. doi:10.1007/s10680-021-09596-y.
- Ichou, M. and Wallace, M. (2019). The Healthy Immigrant Effect: The role of educational selectivity in the good health of migrants. *Demographic Research* 40:61–94.
doi:10.4054/DemRes.2019.40.4.

- Karlsdóttir, A., Rispling, L., Norlén, G., and Randall, L. (eds.) (2018). *State of the Nordic Region 2018: Immigration and Integration Edition*. Nordic Council of Ministers.
doi:10.6027/ANP2018-742.
- Kashnitsky, I. (2023). *Non-Survival to Pension Age in Denmark and Sweden: A Sub-National Investigation*. Open Science Framework. doi:10.31219/osf.io/y9ke4.
- Korkiasaari, J. and Söderling, I. (2003). *Finnish Emigration and Immigration after World War II*. Turku: Siirtolaisuusinstituutti – Migrationsinstitutet. https://arkisto.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/08/011_Korkiasaari_Soderling.pdf.
- Matthiessen, P.C. (2009). *Immigration to Denmark: An Overview of the Research Carried out from 1999 to 2006 by the Rockwool Foundation Research Unit*. Odense: University Press of Southern Denmark.
- McDonald, J.T. and Kennedy, S. (2004). Insights into the ‘healthy immigrant effect’: health status and health service use of immigrants to Canada. *Social Science & Medicine* 59(8):1613–1627. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2004.02.004.
- Monti, A., Drefahl, S., Mussino, E., and Härkönen, J. (2020). Over-coverage in population registers leads to bias in demographic estimates. *Population Studies* 74(3):451–469.
doi:10.1080/00324728.2019.1683219.
- OECD (2023). OECD - Better Policies for Better Lives [electronic resource].
<https://www.oecd.org/>.
- Palloni, A. and Arias, E. (2004). Paradox lost: Explaining the hispanic adult mortality advantage. *Demography* 41(3):385–415. doi:10.1353/dem.2004.0024.
- R Core Team (2022). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing. . <https://www.R-project.org/>.

- Rechel, B., Mladovsky, P., Ingleby, D., Mackenbach, J.P., and McKee, M. (2013). Migration and health in an increasingly diverse Europe. *The Lancet* 381(9873):1235–1245.
doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(12)62086-8.
- Reiss, K., Spallek, J., and Razum, O. (2010). ‘Imported risk’ or ‘health transition’? Smoking prevalence among ethnic German immigrants from the Former Soviet Union by duration of stay in Germany - analysis of microcensus data. *International Journal for Equity in Health* 9(1):15. doi:10.1186/1475-9276-9-15.
- Ronellenfitsch, U., Kyobutungi, C., Becher, H., and Razum, O. (2006). All-cause and Cardiovascular mortality among ethnic German immigrants from the Former Soviet Union: a cohort study. *BMC Public Health* 6(1):16. doi:10.1186/1471-2458-6-16.
- Rostila, M., Cederström, A., Wallace, M., Brandén, M., Malmberg, B., and Andersson, G. (2021). Disparities in Coronavirus Disease 2019 Mortality by Country of Birth in Stockholm, Sweden: A Total-Population–Based Cohort Study. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 190(8):1510–1518. doi:10.1093/aje/kwab057.
- Sanderson, W.C. and Scherbov, S. (2010). Remeasuring Aging. *Science* 329(5997):1287–1288.
doi:10.1126/science.1193647.
- Sanderson, W.C. and Scherbov, S. (2015). An Easily Understood and Intergenerationally Equitable Normal Pension Age. In: *The Future of Welfare in a Global Europe*. 1st Edition. Routledge: 193–220. doi:10.4324/9781315239446-12.
- Scott, A.P. and Timæus, I.M. (2013). Mortality differentials 1991–2005 by self-reported ethnicity: findings from the ONS Longitudinal Study. *Journal of Epidemiology and Community Health* 67(9):743–750. doi:10.1136/jech-2012-202265.

- Strozza, C., Vigezzi, S., Callaway, J., Kashnitsky, I., Aleksandrovs, A., and Vaupel, J.W. (2022). *Socioeconomic Inequalities in Survival to Retirement Age: A Register-Based Analysis*. Open Science Framework. doi:10.31219/osf.io/8wbdv.
- Sundström, G. (2009). Demography of Aging in the Nordic Countries. In: Uhlenberg, P. (ed.). *International Handbook of Population Aging*. Dordrecht: Springer Netherlands: 91–111. doi:10.1007/978-1-4020-8356-3_5.
- Syse, A., Strand, B.H., Naess, O., Steingímsdóttir, Ó.A., and Kumar, B.N. (2016). Differences in all-cause mortality: A comparison between immigrants and the host population in Norway 1990-2012. *Demographic Research* 34:615–656. doi:10.4054/DemRes.2016.34.22.
- Vang, Z.M., Sigouin, J., Flenon, A., and Gagnon, A. (2017). Are immigrants healthier than native-born Canadians? A systematic review of the healthy immigrant effect in Canada. *Ethnicity & Health* 22(3):209–241. doi:10.1080/13557858.2016.1246518.
- Vaupel, J.W. and Canudas-Romo, V. (2003). Decomposing change in life expectancy: A bouquet of formulas in honor of Nathan Keyfitz's 90th birthday. *Demography* 40(2):201–216. doi:10.1353/dem.2003.0018.
- Vaupel, J.W., Villavicencio, F., and Bergeron-Boucher, M.-P. (2021). Demographic perspectives on the rise of longevity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 118(9):e2019536118. doi:10.1073/pnas.2019536118.
- Vaupel, J.W., Zhang, Z., and van Raalte, A.A. (2011). Life expectancy and disparity: an international comparison of life table data. *BMJ Open* 1(1):e000128–e000128. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2011-000128.
- Wallace, M. and Kulu, H. (2014). Low immigrant mortality in England and Wales: A data artefact? *Social Science & Medicine* 120:100–109. doi:10.1016/j.socscimed.2014.08.032.

- Wallace, M., Thomas, M.J., Aburto, J.M., Jørring Pallesen, A.V., Mortensen, L.H., Syse, A., and Drefahl, S. (2022). Immigration, mortality, and national life expectancy in the Nordic region, 1990–2019. *SSM - Population Health*:101177. doi:10.1016/j.ssmph.2022.101177.
- Wallace, M. and Wilson, B. (2019). Migrant Mortality Advantage Versus Origin and the Selection Hypothesis. *Population and Development Review* 45(4):767–794. doi:10.1111/padr.12298.
- Wallace, M. and Wilson, B. (2022). Age variations and population over-coverage: Is low mortality among migrants merely a data artefact? *Population Studies* 76(1):81–98. doi:10.1080/00324728.2021.1877331.
- Whitehouse, E. (2007). *Life-Expectancy Risk and Pensions: Who Bears the Burden?* France: OECD. OECD Social, Employment and Migration Working Papers.
- Zuo, W., Jiang, S., Guo, Z., Feldman, M.W., and Tuljapurkar, S. (2018). Advancing front of old-age human survival. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 115(44):11209–11214. doi:10.1073/pnas.1812337115.

Mortality inequalities at retirement between migrants and non-migrants in Denmark and Sweden

Julia Callaway¹, Cosmo Strozza¹, Sven Drefahl², Eleonora Mussino², Ilya Kashnitsky¹

¹ *Interdisciplinary Centre on Population Dynamics, University of Southern Denmark, Odense,
Denmark*

² *Department of Sociology, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden*

Figures S1-S5

Analysis for Sweden comparing non-migrants to migrant groups classified as: European-born, non-European-born, Finnish-born

Figures S6-S9

Analysis for Denmark and Sweden comparing those Danish-born and Swedish-born to all migrants in the respective countries

Figures S10-S12

Analysis for Denmark comparing Danish-born overall, Danish-born by income quartile, and migrants

Supplementary Material

Figure S1. Probability of dying between ages 50 and 65 for migrants and non-migrants in Sweden between 1988 and 2018




Figure S2. Remaining life expectancy at retirement age (65 years) for migrants and non-migrants in Sweden between 1988 and 2018




Figure S3. Differences (in years) between life expectancy at retirement age (65 years) for Sweden overall compared to migrants and non-migrants between 1988 and 2018




Figure S4. Years of life lost due to death from retirement age (65+ years) for migrants and non-migrants in Sweden between 1988 and 2018




Figure S5. Probability that a non-migrant in Sweden will outsurvive a migrant after reaching retirement (age 65) for the years 1988 to 2018




Figure S6. Probability of dying between age 50 to 65 for migrants and non-migrants in Denmark (panel A) and Sweden (panel B) between 1988 and 2018




Figure S7. Remaining life expectancy at pension age (65 years) for migrants and non-migrants in Denmark (panel A) and Sweden (panel B) between 1988 and 2018




Figure S9. Years of life lost due to death from retirement age (65+ years) for migrants and non-migrants in Denmark (panel A) and Sweden (panel B) between 1988 and 2018




Figure S10. Probability of dying between ages 50 and 65 between 1988 and 2018 in Denmark by income quartile




Figure S11. Remaining life expectancy at retirement age (panel A), differences in life expectancy at retirement with reference: Denmark (panel B), and years of life lost due to death after retirement age (65+ years) (panel C) between 1988 and 2018 in Denmark by income quartile




Figure S12. Probability that a non-migrant in Denmark and Sweden will outsurvive a migrant after reaching retirement (age 65) between 1988 and 2018. Non-migrants in Denmark are split into income quartiles

